

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
ATTEICATION NO.	TIENG DATE	TRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNET BOCKET NO.	CONTRIBATION NO.
10/690,211	10/21/2003	James Spitler	03-001-US1 (IST 3591000)	2328
57004 CARR LLP (IS	7590 04/14/200 ST)	8	EXAMINER	
670 FOUNDERS SQUARE			HOFFMAN, MARY C	
900 JACKSON STREET DALLAS, TX 75202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3733	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Interview Summary	10/690,211	SPITLER ET AL.		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MARY HOFFMAN	3733		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) MARY HOFFMAN, EDUARDO ROBERT.	(3) <u>JOHN LIPCHITZ</u> .			
(2) <u>SCOTT SCHORER</u> .	(4) <u>MALCOM MCGOWAN</u> .			
Date of Interview: <u>09 April 2008</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative)]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)⊠ Yes If Yes, brief description: <i>Models of the invention were</i> s	e)⊡ No. <u>hown</u> .			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>25 and 26</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>BOEHM Jr. et al. 2004/0039384</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The claims were discussed</u> <u>pivoting the brace" limitation was discussed</u> . <u>The Applicant amendments prior to submitting the next response</u> , <u>The exproposed amendments overcome the prior art</u> . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no contents the prior art is a substantial to	ed and clarified, in particular, in the indicated that he would substantine agreed to call Applicar agrees which the examiner agreey of the amendments that we	the "means for facilitating mit faxed proposed nt to discuss whether the reed would render the claims		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
	EZUARDO C. T SUPERVISORY PATE /Mary C. Hoffman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733	NT EXAMINER		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	ired		